

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,539	07/25/2003	Carl James Davis	18858	3681
23556 7	590 05/18/2005	EXAMINER		
KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC. 401 NORTH LAKE STREET			OSELE, MARK A	
NEENAH, W			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1734	
			DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005	

7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office And C	10/627,539	DAVIS ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Mark A. Osele	1734			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address -			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate or period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, it reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion.  Is, a reply within the statutory minimum of the year of the come will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO by statute, cause the application to become well as the come will be come with the come will be come will be come with the come will be come will be come with the come will be come will be come will be come will be come with the come will be come will be come with the come will be come will be come with the come will be come will be come with the	a reply be timely filed  airty (30) days will be considered timely.  DNTHS from the mailing date of this communica  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. 8 133)	ation.		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>22 October 2004</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☐ This action is non-final.				
3)□						
	closed in accordance with the practice u	nder Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the appli	cation.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	ithdrawn from consideration.				
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•			
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.					
7)∐	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•			
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.		,		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	1. Certified copies of the priority doci					
	2. Certified copies of the priority doct					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the		n received in this National Stage	1		
* 0	application from the International E		t rancius d			
	See the attached detailed Office action for	a not of the certified copies no	r received.			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
3) 🔲 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ r No(s)/Mail Date		(s)/Mail Date  Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
S. Patent and Tr	ndemed Office					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offi PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/627,539

Art Unit: 1734

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 8, 10, 12-14, 17, 19-20, and 23-25 are rejected under 35

  U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kallner. Kallner shows a heat sealing device comprising a thermally conductive heat sealing disk, 100, mounted for rotation about an axis, 20; and a heating element, 120, for heating an inner portion of the disk to cause thermal conductions towards the periphery of the disk. Kallner fails to show the disk used to engage with the outer surface of the tail on a roll of sheet material to weld the tail to the underlying layer. Although the article of Kallner is not a roll of sheet material, materials worked upon are not given patentable weight in an apparatus claim as long as the apparatus is capable of working upon that article. If the unevenly shaped load, L, of Kallner were replaced with a roll and wrapped with overplapping film layers for protection during shipping or storage, the apparatus of Kallner would heat seal the outer surface of the trailing sheet tail on the roll to weld it to the underlying layer of sheet material. The resilient support means for the disks allows for sealing of either unevenly shaped or uniformly shaped loads.

Regarding claims 8, 17, and 23, Kallner shows the disk to be supported on resilient support means (column 3, lines 33-36; column 4, lines 22-28).

Art Unit: 1734

Regarding claims 10 and 24, Kallner shows the temperature of the sealing disk to be controlled using a thermocouple (column 4, lines 10-15).

Regarding claim 25, Weis et al. shows conveyors for moving the rolls past the sealing device.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 9, 11, 18, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kallner. As shown in paragraph 2 above, Kallner shows the claimed invention except for adjustable supports and conveyance control. Regarding claims 9 and 18, it is well known to make resilient supports adjustable to compensate for variation in springs or materials worked upon. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add adjustment means to the resilient supports so they could be adjusted as springs are replaced or materials of different sizes are worked upon.

Regarding claims 11 and 26, it is conventional to control the movement of articles to be worked upon into and out of the work station. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made control the movement of

Application/Control Number: 10/627,539 Page 4

Art Unit: 1734

the articles into and out of the work station for automation purposes which speeds throughput and increases productivity.

- 3. Claims 4-5, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kallner in view of Bradshaw et al. Bradshaw et al. shows a heat sealing disk wherein the heater, 10, is in the interior portion of the disk and heat is conducted to the periphery of the disk. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place the heater of Kallner in the interior of the roller such as shown by Bradshaw et al. because the two designs are shown to be functionally equivalent alternate expedients.
- 4. Claims 6-7, 15-16, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kallner in view of Herrington. Kallner fails to show a tapered roller with teeth around the periphery. Herrington shows a heated sealing disk wherein the periphery of the disk, 59, comprises a series of tapered teeth, 59a. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the periphery of the disk of Kallner with tapered teeth because Herrington shows this type of roller is effective in sealing two webs together when a tearable seal is desired for easy opening.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,539

Art Unit: 1734

### Conclusion

Page 5

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark A. Osele whose telephone number is 571-272-1235. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Fiorilla can be reached on 571-272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MARK A. OSELE PRIMARY EXAMINER

May 16, 2005